

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,709	09/08/2003	Kuo-Hsing Teng	67,200-1150	2302	
75	590 12/20/2005		EXAMINER		
TUNG & ASSOCIATES Suite 120			BUEKER, RICHARD R		
838 W. Long Lake Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			1763		

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/658,709	TENG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Richard Bueker	1763		

	Richard Bueker	1703	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date		•	
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la</li> </ul>			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	-	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c)   ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		colou ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi rided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: 21.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3, 5, 9-11, 13, 17-25</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	. h . f	- 4" 6" A 1 - 111	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	I sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing an entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		,,	
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
	F	Richard Bull	_
		Richard Bueker	
	•	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1763	



## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: In the proposed amendment to claim 1, applicants have added a portion of claim 2 subject matter to claim 1, but not all of the subject matter of claim 2. This results in a new claim 1 that differs in scope from any previously pending claim, and thus raises new issues requiring further consideration after final. Other new issues In the proposed amended claims are found in the following locations: claim 1, line 2; claim 1, line 5; claim 1, line 10; claim 2, line 7 (where "nozzle openings" is changed to "openings"); claim 9, line 2; claim 9, line 10 (where "nozzle openings" is changed to "openings"); claim 13, line 2; claim 17, line 8; claim 17, lines 9 and 10; claim 19, line 2; claim 20, line 5; claim 20, lines 7 and 8; all of claim 21; and claim 25, line 2.